



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/087,933 03/05/2002		Holger Jahn	1891/50917	5283		
23911	7590 12/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CROWELL	& MORING LLP	HURLEY, KEVIN				
P.O. BOX 143	JAL PROPERTY GROUP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	DN, DC 20044-4300		3611			

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/087,9	10/087,933 JAHN, HOLGER					
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Kevin Hur		3611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	Idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH .136(a). In no ev d will apply and w ite, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be to the service SIX (6) MONTHS from the service SIX (6) MONTHS from the service ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this c IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 l	November 2	005.					
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	rosecution as to the	e merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>14-21</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) t	e held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. No	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee ority docume au (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National	Stage			
Attachmen —	• •				·			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I					
3) 🔲 Infon	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	B)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/087,933 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 14 November 2005, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY

REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37

CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an

appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee

can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have

been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between

the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing

below:

LESLEY D. MORRIS

SUPERVICORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 7, 9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harms.

It is noted that claims 7-13 only positively recite a wheel. The wheelchair is only recited as an intended use work object. "[i]nclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young, 75 F.2d 966, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)). See MPEP 2115. There is no positive recitation of a connection to a wheelchair. Claim 9 only recites limitations on the work object.

Harms discloses a tire comprising:

a tire carcass 12, and

a running profile 30 extending annularly around the carcass,

wherein the running profile is asymmetrically disposed with respect to a tire carcass center plane;

wherein the running profile is symmetrically configured (about the center axis of the wheel) and arranged laterally offset with respect to the center plane by a predetermined offset angle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,933 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

5. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harms in view of French.

Harms discloses the claimed invention except for a low friction coating disposed on the

portions configured to be smooth.

It is known in the art, as taught by French (col. 1 lines 39-52) to add a low friction

coating to a tire sidewall in order to reduce heat generation and abrasion.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify Harms by adding a low friction coating to the tire in order to reduce heat

generation and abrasion.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 14-23 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see the Appeal Brief pages 6-12, filed 14 November 2005, with

respect to claims 14-23 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims

14-23 has been withdrawn.

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-13 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Hurley whose telephone number is 571-272-6646. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Hurley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

December 13, 2005